# Agnostic Online Learning

Dávid Pál

March 2009

Waterloo

joint work with Shai Ben-David and Shai Shalev-Shwartz

## Online learning

In round t = 1, 2, ..., T

- receive  $\mathbf{x}_t$  e.g. an email
- predict  $\hat{y}_t \in \{0, 1\}$  e.g. {spam, not-spam}
- receive "correct" feedback  $y_t \in \{0, 1\}$
- $\hat{y}_t \neq y_t$  is a mistake

### Overview

#### Previous work:

- Littlestone's model
- learning with expert advice
- PAC model
- agnostic PAC

Our contribution:

• Agnostic online learning

Important technicalities:

- Littlestone's dimension
- Simulating Expert's

Littlestone (1988)

- *unknown* target  $h^* : \mathfrak{X} \to \{0, 1\}$  in *fixed known* class  $\mathfrak{H}$
- ŷ<sub>t</sub> = h<sup>\*</sup>(x<sub>t</sub>) for all t
   (So called "realizable case".)
- How many mistakes do we make?
- Littlestone defined "optimal mistake bound" of  $\mathcal{H}$ . We call it Ldim $(\mathcal{H})$  – Littlestone's dimension

# Learning with Expert Advice

Littlestone & Warmuth (1994), Vovk (1990), Lugosi & Cesa-Bianchi (2006) and many others:

- N experts
- in round *t* receive expert's advice  $(f_1^t, f_2^t, \dots, f_N^t) \in \{0, 1\}^N$ .
- $\mathbf{x}_t$ 's and  $y_t$ 's can be arbitrary
- How many more mistakes than the best expert do we make?
- $\sqrt{T \log N}$  more (so called *regret*)

Valiant (1984), Haussler, Littlestone & Warmuth (1994)

- *x*<sub>t</sub> is drawn from a fixed (but arbitrary) probability distribution *P* over *X*.
- target  $h^*$  in class  $\mathcal H$
- $\hat{y}_t = h^*(\mathbf{x}_t)$  (realizable case)
- How many mistakes do we make?
- VCdim(H) log *T* mistakes

Haussler (1990), Vapnik and Chervonekis (1971)

- $(\mathbf{x}_t, y_t)$  random drawn from a fixed (but arbitrary) probability distribution *P* over  $\mathcal{X} \times \{0, 1\}$ .
- Fixed class  $\mathcal H$
- How many more mistakes than the best hypothesis in  $\mathcal H$  do we make?
- $\sqrt{\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H})T}$  regret

# Our model: Agnostic Online Learning

- Fixed known class H
- $\mathbf{x}_t$  and  $y_t$  are arbitrary
- How many more mistakes than the best hypothesis in  $\mathcal H$  do we make?

• 
$$\widetilde{O}\left(\sqrt{T \operatorname{Ldim}(\mathcal{H})}\right)$$
 regret

 $(PAC \rightarrow Agnostic \ PAC) \sim (Littlestone \rightarrow Agnostic \ Online)$ 

### Littlestone's dimension

 $\mathcal{H}$  *shatters* a full binary tree iff each leaf-hypothesis is *consistent* with the path to the root.



 $Ldim(\mathcal{H})$  is maximum depth of a full binary tree shattered by  $\mathcal{H}$ .

### Standard Optimal Algorithm (SOA)

Littlestone (1988)

**Initialize:**  $V_0 = \mathcal{H}$  **For** t = 1, 2, ..., Treceive  $\mathbf{x}_t$ for  $r \in \{0, 1\}$  set  $V_{t-1}^{(r)} = \{h \in V_{t-1} : h(\mathbf{x}_t) = r\}$ predict  $\hat{y}_t = \operatorname{argmax}_{r \in \{0,1\}} \operatorname{Ldim}(V_{t-1}^{(r)})$ (if tie, then predict  $\hat{y}_t = 0$ ) receive  $y_t$ update  $V_t = V_{t-1}^{(y_t)}$ 

- $V_t$  are hypotheses consistent with  $(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_t, y_t)$
- Ldim( $V_t$ ) decreases at every mistake i.e. when  $\hat{y}_t \neq y_t$
- Makes at most  $Ldim(\mathcal{H})$  mistakes in total

# Our learning algorithm

- Create  $N = O(T^{\text{Ldim}(\mathcal{H})})$  experts
- Use learning with expert advice algorithm
- Total regret

$$\sqrt{T\log N} = O\left(\sqrt{\operatorname{Ldim}(H)T\log T}\right)$$

to best expert

• Make sure that regret to the best hypothesis is at most regret to the best expert.



• Total number of experts:

$$\sum_{L=0}^{\text{Ldim}(\mathcal{H})} \binom{T}{L} = O(T^{\text{Ldim}(\mathcal{H})})$$

• One expert for each choice

 $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_L\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, T\}$  where  $L \leq \text{Ldim}(\mathcal{H})$ 

• Expert $(i_1, \ldots, i_L)$  simulates SOA on  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_T$  assuming that it errs in rounds  $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_L$ 

 $\operatorname{Expert}(i_1,\ldots,i_L)$ 

Initialize:  $V_0 = \mathcal{H}$ For t = 1, 2, ..., Treceive  $\mathbf{x}_{t}$ for  $r \in \{0, 1\}$  set  $V_{t-1}^{(r)} = \{h \in V_{t-1} : h(\mathbf{x}_t) = r\}$  $\hat{y}_t = \operatorname{argmax}_{r \in \{0,1\}} \operatorname{Ldim}(V_{t-1}^{(r)})$ (if tie, then  $\hat{y}_t = 0$ ) If  $t \in \{i_1, ..., i_I\}$ **Then** predict  $f^t = \neg \hat{y}_t$ **Else** predict  $f^t = y_t$ update  $V_t = V_{t-1}^{(f^t)}$ 

### Experts

### Lemma

For each  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  and any sequence  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_T$  there exists an expert,  $\text{Expert}(i_1, \dots, i_L)$ , with the same predictions as h. That is,

$$f^t = h(\mathbf{x}_t)$$
 for all  $t = 1, 2, ..., T$ .

#### Proof.

Pretend that *h* is the target. Consider the predictions of SOA on  $(\mathbf{x}_1, h(\mathbf{x}_1)), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_T, h(\mathbf{x}_T))$ . SOA makes mistakes in rounds  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_L$  for some  $L \leq \text{Ldim}(\mathcal{H})$ . Expert $(i_1, \dots, i_L)$  predicts  $f^t = h(\mathbf{x}_t)$ .

# Regret upper bound

### Corollary

*Regret to the best hypothesis is at most the regret to the best expert.* 

### Theorem

For any  $\mathcal{H}$  there exists a learning algorithm with regret  $O(\sqrt{\text{Ldim}(\mathcal{H})T\log T})$ .

### Lower Bound

### Theorem

For any  $\mathcal{H}$  and any learning algorithm there exists a sequence  $(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \ldots, (\mathbf{x}_T, y_T)$  such that regret to the best hypothesis in  $\mathcal{H}$  is at least  $\Omega(\sqrt{\text{Ldim}(\mathcal{H})T})$ .

#### Proof.

Follow a path in shattered tree. For each node x construct

$$(\mathbf{x}, y_1), (\mathbf{x}, y_2), \ldots, (\mathbf{x}, y_{T/\operatorname{Ldim}(\mathcal{H})})$$

where *y*'s are chosen independently uniformly at random. If there exists two *h*, *h*' such that  $h(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  and  $h'(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ , then expected regret is at least  $\Omega(\sqrt{T/\text{Ldim}(H)})$ . Total regret is

$$\Omega\left(\mathrm{Ldim}(\mathcal{H})\cdot\sqrt{T/\mathrm{Ldim}(H)}\right) = \Omega\left(\sqrt{\mathrm{Ldim}(H)T}\right) \ .$$

### Conclusion

Paper:

- www.cs.uwaterloo.ca/~dpal/papers/
- COLT 2009
- fat-shattering and margins
- *y*<sub>*t*</sub>'s are stochastic instead of adversarial

Open problem:

$$\Omega\left(\sqrt{\operatorname{Ldim}(\mathcal{H})T}\right) \quad \text{vs.} \quad O\left(\sqrt{\operatorname{Ldim}(\mathcal{H})T\log T}\right) \ .$$
Thanks!